



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Biological clocks in theory and experiments

Citation for published version:

Millar, A 2005, 'Biological clocks in theory and experiments', *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 6, no. Suppl 3, S2.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-6-S3-S2>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1186/1471-2105-6-S3-S2](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-6-S3-S2)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

BMC Bioinformatics

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Oral presentation

Open Access

Biological clocks in theory and experiments

Andrew J Millar*^{1,2}

Address: ¹School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. and ²Interdisciplinary Programme for Cellular Regulation, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK.

Email: Andrew J Millar* - Andrew.Millar@ed.ac.uk

* Corresponding author

from BioSysBio: Bioinformatics and Systems Biology Conference
Edinburgh, UK, 14–15 July 2005

Published: 21 September 2005

BMC Bioinformatics 2005, 6(Suppl 3):S2

Eukaryotes and some prokaryotes have adapted to the 24 h day/night cycle by evolving circadian clocks. The circadian clock now controls 24-hour rhythms in very many aspects of metabolism, physiology and behaviour. Day-length (photoperiod) measurement depends on the circadian clock, so the 24 h clock mechanism also governs seasonal rhythms, such as reproduction. In the model plant species, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the clock controls the expression of about 10% of genes, and this proportion is similar in other eukaryotes. Fundamental properties of the clock are shared across taxonomic groups, such as phase resetting by light signals and temperature compensation of the circadian period.

All the known clock mechanisms include a gene circuit with negative feedback, involving 24 h rhythms in the levels of positive- and negatively-acting transcriptional regulators. Molecular genetics has identified 5–15 genes that are involved in constructing these regulatory loops in cyanobacteria, *Drosophila*, *Neurospora*, *Arabidopsis* and mouse, though other components almost certainly remain to be discovered. The protein sequences of the clock components are largely distinct to each taxonomic group but some features of the regulatory circuits are shared among groups, suggesting that the circuit architecture may be important for clock function. Circadian regulation is ubiquitous, pervasive and has complex properties, yet the number of components in the clock is relatively small, making this an excellent prototype for reverse engineering of a genetic sub-network.

My experimental group has identified new components of the plant circadian clock, using the bioluminescent reporter gene luciferase (*LUC*) to reveal gene expression rhythms with high spatial and temporal resolution. As the

details revealed by molecular genetics do not necessarily lead to greater understanding of a regulatory circuit, we have also developed differential equation models for the plant clock and photoperiod sensor, together with our collaborators in IPCR. The models incorporate molecular components in a realistic manner, so numerical simulations using the models are now directing the design and evaluation of molecular experiments. We have developed an experimentalist-friendly interface for the models, to allow other groups to use these methods (free online at <http://www.amillar.org/Downloads.html>). David Rand and colleagues have established a novel analytical method to assess the contribution of each component of the model (RNA or protein) at each phase of the cycle. This work indicates a general explanation for the evolution of multi-loop structures, to allow flexible regulation, providing one of the design principles that may underlie the architecture of the circadian clock gene circuits. Funded by BBSRC, EPSRC and DTI. More information can be found at <http://www.amillar.org>.

References

1. Young MW, Kay SA: **Time zones: A comparative genetics of circadian clocks.** *Nat Rev Genet* 2001, **2**:702-715.
2. Goldbeter A: **Computational approaches to cellular rhythms.** *Nature* 2002, **420**:238-245.
3. Rand DA, Shulgin BV, Salazar D, Millar AJ: **Design principles underlying circadian clocks.** *J Roy Soc Interface* 2005, **1**:119-130.
4. Locke JCW, Millar AJ, Turner MS: **Modelling genetic networks with noisy and varied experimental data: the circadian clock in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.** *J Theor Biol* 2005, **234**:383-393.
5. Locke JCW, et al.: **Extension of a genetic network model by iterative experimentation and mathematical analysis.** *Mol Syst Biol* 2005. doi:10.1038/msb4100018